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Introduction

• More favourable valuations and positioning, but declining rate of earnings increases.
• We are again slightly overweight in equities and corporate bonds.
• Improved energy efficiency – a good tool for achieving environmental targets.

2018 was a volatile year, with weak performance for most asset classes and periodic free fall during the fourth quarter – despite strong economic growth and impressive corporate earnings increases. The best strategy for the year would have been to focus on defensive equities during the first half and then flee risk completely during the second half of the year. From a Swedish investor’s perspective the end result was less dramatic, thanks to the positive effect of the krona’s depreciation on asset values.

In the last Investment Outlook (December 2018) we explained that we had lowered our risk level to a neutral position ahead of the report season. This was a correct decision, but we again increased our risk (after an initial stock market downturn of about 10 per cent). This was a mistake, since December also proved to be a very weak month. Early in 2019, we are now somewhat overweight in equities and corporate bonds.

We expect 2019 to be stormy as well, since we are still in the late phase of the economic cycle. This means that global growth will gradually slow down and that the earnings-generating ability of the corporate sector will be challenged. In this phase, more short-term sources of disruption such as political turmoil often have a greater impact as well. But we also foresee some positive counterforces that may diminish volatility during the year ahead, for example more appetising valuations of financial assets. Investors have already assumed more cautious positions, which will reduce the need for further risk reductions. Both political leaders and central banks have surely been affected by the turbulence of 2018 and may thus make more supportive future decisions.

In this issue of Investment Outlook, we describe how we expect 2019 to unfold, with a special focus on our view of asset allocation and expected earnings generation in the global stock market. We also provide an in-depth look at the intriguing field of energy efficiency. The technological potential to halve energy use already exists. We expect a strongly growing need for future investments in energy efficiency. This will create opportunities and challenges for both companies and equity investors.

Wishing you enjoyable reading,

Fredrik Öberg
Chief Investment Officer
Investment Strategy
Macro and other market drivers

Growth rate decelerating from high levels

In the last Investment Outlook we noted that economic growth seemed past its peak. We thus focused on a discussion about how long growth can last. The continued deceleration of the past few months makes it clear that we are now in a phase of falling growth rates.

We expect overall global growth to fall by a couple of tenths of a percentage point in 2019 and 2020, compared to 2017 and 2018. We thus do not expect a recession, although the risk of one has increased somewhat.

**US – clear deceleration, but no recession**

The American economy is furthest in the cyclical process, as reflected in record-low unemployment and high capacity utilisation. Last autumn, growth remained steady in the United States. At year-end the Institute for Supply Management’s purchasing managers’ index (PMI) for the manufacturing sector showed a marked decline, especially the New Orders component – a clear warning sign for the future. But we have also seen strong labour market statistics in recent weeks. There are many indications that the labour supply is not as low as the unemployment figure indicates. Due to resource shortages along with potentially temporary negative effects from the US-Chinese trade conflict, we will see a clear deceleration. But we foresee no recession, “only” a slower growth rate.

**Euro zone – political problems dampen the mood**

In the euro zone, growth slowed down in 2018 due to weaker demand. PMIs are at their weakest since 2014. We believe that temporary factors, such as new European Union regulations that have hampered important auto sales, amplified the slowdown. Growth is being maintained by business investment needs and a good outlook for households, but various political problems are dampening the mood, while weaker global demand is hurting vital exports. We expect growth to stabilise at somewhat above today’s levels, but slower than in prior years.

**Emerging markets – still an important engine**

China’s economic policy shift and deceleration are proceeding as planned, but they have been heightened by a world trade downturn, accentuated by the trade war. The authorities are taking numerous steps to stimulate growth. We believe this will be enough to make the deceleration more modest. Other emerging market (EM) countries have also seen slower growth, partly due to a downturn in commodity prices. Yet global trade remains at decent levels, while domestic demand is strong in most places. We thus expect the EM economies to remain an important engine of the world economy.

**Overall**, global growth is thus decelerating from high levels. The question is whether our forecast of about 3.5 per cent yearly growth during 2019 and 2020 is too high. The market is definitely worried that this may be the case, but the probability of an economic downturn as early as 2019 remains low. We estimate the risk of a recession in 2019-2020 at 20-25 per cent, which nevertheless shows that the risks have increased.

### GDP forecasts for major markets, year-on-year percentage growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Deceleration from high level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Consumption tax hike = uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Auto industry a source of uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Deceleration, with downside risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Brexit solutions, but great uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro zone</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Growth will stabilise at lower levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic countries</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Domestic strengths provide immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic countries</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Continued good growth, external risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Slowdown under way, low joblessness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM economies</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Stable growth, but great divergence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World, PPP*</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Growth losing momentum, near trend</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OECD, IMF, SEB  *Purchasing power parities (PPP)
Low inflation and more dovish central bank signals

Despite rising wage inflation, mainly in the American economy, the global inflation rate is having difficulty climbing much more. In 2018 we reached an inflation rate of just over 2.5 per cent in the 36 mainly affluent countries of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). We believe this was a peak figure. Our forecast is that the inflation rate will fall to 1.5 per cent in 2019 and rebound to 2.1 per cent in 2020. Combined with recent financial market volatility, neither the US Federal Reserve (Fed) nor other central banks reasonably have much room to hike their key interest rates. Looking ahead, this conclusion is one of the factors that will serve as a shock absorber in the capital market. Another very important method that central banks have employed since the financial crisis has been their quantitative easing (read more on page 18), which they have implemented at the same time as sharp key interest rate cuts. Nowadays these functions are reversed and when it comes to central banks’ plans to dismantle their bond portfolios, these plans will be partly controlled by economic developments, but also by the performance of capital markets. This implies that during messy periods, central banks can be expected to move slowly with these portfolio reduction plans.

Reasonable valuations

Throughout 2018, valuations were being pushed downward because corporate earnings were rising and share prices were falling. A similar trend occurred for corporate bonds, which showed rising yields and widening spreads against government bond yields (read more on page 18). This means that today’s valuations already take into account a dimmer future, although at this writing in early 2019 there has been some recovery in stock markets and corporate bond markets. If 2019 and 2020 should result in a gradually declining rate of growth and weakly rising corporate earnings – which is our main scenario – today’s valuations are reasonable. If the economy accelerates, which we do not expect, these assets are downright cheap. Similarly, a recession would usually signal that valuations are high, since – as a rule – earnings will fall significantly. Thus our main scenario is that the economy will be more sustainable than many people fear. We expect slower growth in corporate earnings during 2019 in the 2-6 per cent range, excluding dividends. This implies expected returns on global equities of around 6-8 per cent in local currencies, provided that valuations are unchanged.

Supportive risk appetite and positioning

At the end of 2018, risk appetite collapsed. This had a major impact on positioning, since investors once again greatly reduced the risks in their portfolios. In this situation, risk appetite indicators signalled that in a short-term perspective it would be a bad idea to decide to take further steps to reduce risks. Then 2019 began with a rebound. Risk appetite remains modest, and positioning is also on the cautious side. This means that as long as we are not heading into a recession, both these factors are supportive of risk-taking.

Examples of risks

During the past quarter, leading indicators continued to signal a deceleration in the economic growth rate. This is one of the main risks, since a dramatic deceleration has not been discounted in today’s pricing. A situation of lower underlying economic strength, also hampered by possible political troubles (hard Brexit, Italian fiscal problems, trade barriers between China and the US), has boosted the risks of a recession, which we currently estimate at 20-25 per cent in 2020. Our main scenario (75 per cent) that this will not occur remains in place. Finally, it would be unfortunate if the US Federal Reserve (Fed) should feel under pressure to hike its key rate several times during 2019, combined with a shrinking balance sheet, due to accelerating inflation and weak growth. We believe the Fed is now already in a situation where it needs to proceed cautiously unless growth is strong enough.
Despite increased uncertainty about both the economy and corporate earnings, we are forecasting positive returns for most asset classes. Clear improvements in the past quarter include more cautious central banks, as well as valuation and positioning factors. It is always hard to forecast short-term returns, especially late in an economic cycle. Meanwhile the likelihood of positive returns is increasing, since we now have a very weak period behind us, but we expect that the extra return we have received for many years purely as an effect of a weak krona is over. As early as 2019 we believe the krona will appreciate by about 5 per cent against the US dollar, which may lower returns on global equity portfolios for Swedish investors.

**Nordic equities**
- Weaker growth rate and more profit warnings will push down historically high stock market gains.
- Earnings performance will determine share price performance.
- Valuations are more rational after stock markets have lost 6-7 per cent in six months.
- Fine opportunities to invest in structural growth.
- Defensive sectors have delivered.

**Global equities**
- Improvements in US-Chinese talks and more cautious Fed statements have contributed to stock market recovery.
- Despite uncertainty, we believe stock markets will generate normal, positive returns. This view is based on low valuations and a mild economic slowdown.
- Global corporate earnings will grow by low single-digit percentages this year, given our macro scenario.
- The downward trend in earnings forecasts will level out during the second half of 2019.
- While awaiting a clearer economic outlook and decreased volatility, it is wise to own stable companies that have underlying growth as well as high-quality revenues.

**Fixed income investments**
- A cautious monetary policy normalisation.
- US key interest rate is approaching neutral level.
- Volatility and liquidity will lead to sharp credit market fluctuations.
- Economic growth and undervalued currencies will provide support to emerging market bonds.

**Alternative investments**
- Distinctly difficult conditions for hedge funds during Q4.
- Changed view of US key rate path is causing troubles for macro hedge funds.
- Short-term trends, including sharp fluctuations, are complicating the picture for CTAs.
- Hard-to-manage risk reduction in equity hedge funds.

### Expected returns and risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Return</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Return expectations, %, next 12 months (SEK)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equities</th>
<th>Return</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global equities</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging market equities (local currencies)</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish equities</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income investments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government bonds</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate bonds, investment grade (Europe IG)</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate bonds, high yield (Europe HY)</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging market debt (local currencies)</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Alternative investments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equities</th>
<th>Return</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hedge funds</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SEB, forecasts January 2019
It is apparent today that early in 2018, investors had an overly optimistic picture of market performance during the coming year. By the end of 2018 the situation was the opposite. Their risk appetite thus went from strongly upbeat to excessively downbeat in the course of 12 months. Early in 2019, signs are pointing towards a degree of normalisation and a more nuanced view of the future. In our discretional managed portfolios, this past autumn we increased risk to an overweight for equities, in the wake of the negative market response following the third quarter 2018 report season. This turned out to have been too early, since December was another very weak month, whereas the rebound early in 2019 has been in line with our expectations and portfolio positioning.

On several occasions during the past year, we have urged our readers and clients to review their total risk level, since we expected a clear increase in market instability because we are late in the economic cycle. This proved correct during 2018 and should also apply to 2019, although we expect full-year volatility to be lower than during the extreme fourth quarter of 2018 (see the VIX volatility chart below).

Between September 30 and Christmas Eve, share prices fell by more than 15 per cent. Most other assets also lost value. This meant that pricing reverted to levels that prevailed about 1½ years earlier. The stock market plunge was excessive in a short-term perspective; since then, prices have recovered about 50 per cent of their decline.

Today’s pricing and risk-taking are more reasonable. In a short- to medium-term perspective, the result is that it is appropriate to maintain a risk level of around neutral. In our model portfolios we still have an overweight in the stock and credit markets, and our portfolios are thus targeted towards a continued rebound. We have a very broad approach and diversification in these portfolios. During extremely strong periods in the capital market, this may feel superfluous, but as soon as the market becomes unstable the value of risk diversification becomes evident. Our prevailing recommendation is thus to keep a total risk level of around or just above a neutral stance, and make sure not to have an overly concentrated portfolio, since the risk of stepping on a land mine is higher than usual.

### Risk exposure & allocation

**Total risk level around or just above neutral**

The chart shows the return on the MSCI AC World, a broad global equity index; the OMX Stockholm equity index; the OMRX Swedish fixed income index; and a European high yield index with an SEK currency hedge. The lengthy upturn in asset prices since 2009 was not repeated during 2018.

Between September 30 and Christmas Eve, share prices fell by more than 15 per cent. Most other assets also lost value. This meant that pricing reverted to levels that prevailed about 1½ years earlier. The stock market plunge was excessive in a short-term perspective; since then, prices have recovered about 50 per cent of their decline.

Today’s pricing and risk-taking are more reasonable. In a short- to medium-term perspective, the result is that it is appropriate to maintain a risk level of around neutral. In our model portfolios we still have an overweight in the stock and credit markets, and our portfolios are thus targeted towards a continued rebound. We have a very broad approach and diversification in these portfolios. During extremely strong periods in the capital market, this may feel superfluous, but as soon as the market becomes unstable the value of risk diversification becomes evident. Our prevailing recommendation is thus to keep a total risk level of around or just above a neutral stance, and make sure not to have an overly concentrated portfolio, since the risk of stepping on a land mine is higher than usual.

### How different asset classes have performed

- Barclays Pan-European High Yield Index
- OMRX Bond All Index
- OMX Stockholm Index
- MSCI World All Country Index NR

Source: Bloomberg/Macrobond

The chart shows the return on the MSCI AC World, a broad global equity index; the OMX Stockholm equity index; the OMRX Swedish fixed income index; and a European high yield index with an SEK currency hedge. The lengthy upturn in asset prices since 2009 was not repeated during 2018.

### VIX index performance since 2015

The chart shows the implicit volatility of the S&P 500 equity index in the US. The higher the VIX figure, the greater the stock market instability.

Source: Bloomberg/Macrobond
Questions & answers
How messy will 2019 be? What surprises may appear?

In turbulent times like these, the number of questions we are asked increases. This is both natural and something we appreciate. Below we present answers to questions we have received that are not entirely covered by the regular texts in our quarterly Investment Outlook report.

Will stock market performance in 2019 be as weak and messy as in 2018?

During the last few months of 2018, stock markets were shaken by a variety of negative forces. This was mainly related to the fact that we are in a late-cyclical phase of the economy, when the rate of growth decelerates. In itself, this resulted in a clear need among investors to reallocate their portfolios, which for a number of years had been clearly positioned towards accelerating cyclical growth. Many investors therefore wished to lower the proportion of equities in their overall holdings and chose more defensive equities. In addition, the market was worried about the consequences of the trade conflict between the US and China, the US Federal Reserve’s reduction in stimulus and higher key interest rates. We can describe 2018 as essentially a year of very good growth and strong earnings, but when investors began to discount a weaker future. During such phases, when uncertainty about future growth and corporate earnings increases, it is normal for the market both to become more sensitive to negative headlines and for investors – in order to preserve their capital – to make allowances for a clearly negative scenario. Market downturns and fluctuations are often larger than the incoming statistics justify.

Looking ahead, we are still in the same late-cyclical phase, but what is different today is that asset valuations are lower and investor positioning is less aggressive, while political leaders and central banks have also experienced market volatility and are thus perhaps more inclined to make decisions that do not unnecessarily challenge investors. Overall, uncertainty about growth and earnings will mean that we will see another year when volatility will be highly variable, as it was in 2018, but when average volatility will be less than we experienced in 2018. As for returns, our forecast is that we will be able to deliver positive returns, which was not the case last year.

The newspapers say that central banks are shifting from QE to QT. What does this mean? What will the effects be?

QE is an abbreviation for “quantitative easing”. For many years after the financial crisis that started about a decade ago, central banks used a strategy of purchasing various kinds of bonds in order to stimulate the economy when their classic weapon – cutting their key interest rate – was not enough. This method increases the liquidity in the financial system, removes risk from the balance sheets of banks and pushes down interest rates in order to jump-start the economy. The central banks were successful, but they cannot continue this stimulus forever. The next step in the process is to slowly phase out these large bond portfolios (through QT or “quantitative tightening”). There is then a risk that its impact will be the opposite. Central banks are well aware of this. As a result, their tightening pace will be much slower than their easing pace. The same thing applies to key interest rates, which central banks will not be able to hike as quickly or as much as they previously lowered them. The impact of QT should not be exaggerated, since it will happen in a leisurely way. But it is reasonable to assume that decreased liquidity will contribute to higher volatility for financial assets, all else being equal.

Why do people talk so much about a recession if growth is so strong?

There are two main reasons. First, this economic expansion has been going on for longer than usual. Second, some classical economic warning signals have begun flashing. As for the length of the upturn, it is indeed lengthy, but the recovery has occurred at the slowest pace of all recoveries since the 1950s (in the US), so overall this is far from being the biggest economic upturn. The warning signals include things like very low unemployment and narrow gaps between short-term and long-term bond yields. Historically, these signals have pointed to an imminent recession. But this has happened after a time lag, and the lag may be as long as two years. We believe there is a 20-25 per cent probability that a recession will occur during the next two years.
What risks do you view as the most troubling during the coming year?

The growth picture always includes risks. If the economy decelerates more sharply than we anticipate, it hurts corporate earnings. That would generate market worries and falling risk appetite. If, on the other hand, growth becomes stronger there is a somewhat greater risk of rising wages and salaries, inflation and interest rates, which would also challenge share prices and risk pushing down economic growth.

An increase in friction between the US and China would definitely be troubling, since the market has now begun to expect the two sides to reach agreement on a constructive solution.

Brexit (British withdrawal from the European Union) is a third source of concern. European growth is weak and may be further undermined if there should be a hard Brexit, that is, a withdrawal with no agreement.

Finally, it is worth being aware of more long-term structural risks, such as global debt.

What upside surprises might we see during 2019?

When the market is uncertain, like now, it often suffices if its fears are not realised for the market to regard it as an upside surprise. Another such surprise might be a large-scale economic stimulus, especially in China, and stronger earnings generation than the market is now expecting – driven, for example, by a larger-than-anticipated increase in today’s slow productivity growth. Another upside possibility is that we will see global growth in line with our forecasts, but that in spite of this the US Federal Reserve finds its current key interest rate sufficient in relation to incoming inflation data.

Another joker in the pack is Donald Trump's presidential election promises about infrastructure investments. Having made front page headlines during the 2016 campaign, these promises have been completely side-lined. Because of good global growth and Trump’s tax cuts, large-scale infrastructure investments have not been needed in order to drive the economy. But infrastructure spending will become necessary. If economic growth continues to slow, these plans may very well be dusted off. In that case they might have a positive impact on both overall growth and on the sectors and companies that work in the infrastructure field. The political landscape supports this idea, since representatives of both the Democrats and Republicans have made positive statements about the need for investments, but weak US federal finances may be an obstacle.

Earnings forecasts are apparently being lowered a bit, from growth of around 10 per cent to perhaps 5 per cent. Why are stock markets falling much more than this?

Although it may seem remarkable, the answer is found in the word “discounting”. Most share valuation models are based on the concept of putting a current value on a company’s shares based on its expected future earnings – not only next year but during a substantially longer period. When investors, like now, lower their forecasts of future earnings growth, a small downward adjustment for each year becomes a large figure if we add up the effect over many years. So even a slight lowering of the future pace of earnings growth can have a considerably larger impact on the current value of its earnings generation.

In addition, for natural reasons investors are prepared to assign a higher value to a company whose earnings will grow faster. For example, that company will be able to raise its dividends to shareholders at a faster pace. This is well illustrated by developments during the past year. Early in 2018, it was estimated that global earnings would grow by at least 10 per cent annually during the next few years. At that time, investors were willing to pay 15-18 times annual earnings for the average company on major stock exchanges (the price-earnings or P/E ratio). Today estimated annual earnings growth is perhaps 5 per cent, and share prices have thus (among other reasons) fallen to where the P/E ratio is now 12-15 – so even minor downward adjustments of earnings forecasts can justify relatively large share price declines. What is reassuring about this equation is that once earnings forecasts have been lowered to reasonable levels, there is a higher probability of upside surprises.

How do you view short- and long-term forecasts for expected returns?

By definition, short-term forecasts are more uncertain, which 2017 and 2018 are good examples of. Most observers underestimated 2017 and overestimated 2018 yearly returns. Our estimates regarding expected returns for the current year can be found in the table on page 6. These estimates are based on an expectation that the global economic growth rate will end up at 3.2-3.5 per cent yearly, that we will have corporate earnings growth of around 2-6 per cent yearly and that valuations will remain unchanged.

In a longer perspective of 5 to 10 years, it is harder to make forecasts that factor in expected actual developments, but at the same time it is easier, since a long period usually means that short-term fluctuations offset each other. So it is reasonable to expect returns in line with the long-term historical average, but adjusted for the prevailing bond yield situation. By this we mean that if we look back at history, we see that a stock portfolio returned 3-4 percentage points more than government bonds. This is also a reasonable expectation in the future. However, it means that absolute return is expected to be lower than the returns of recent decades, since government bond yields are well below their historical average. On the other hand, inflation-adjusted returns are more comparable, since we are now in a world with lower inflation than before.
Global equities

Downward earnings revisions and falling prices offset by low valuations

The world’s stock markets fell sharply during the final quarter of 2018. Macroeconomic data brought downside surprises, while the US Federal Reserve stuck to its key interest rate hiking path. Tightening monetary policy combined with downward revisions of corporate earnings forecasts are a toxic cocktail for the stock market. However, more cautious central banks have helped get 2019 off to a better start.

After a steep fall in December, the stock market started the year strong, which should be viewed as a rebound amid an uncertain trend. The US Federal Reserve calmed the market with its actions in response to incoming data. Hopes of a constructive trade agreement between the US and China also contributed to rising share prices early in the year. The impact of the trade war is uncertain, but the conflict has already given rise to disruptions in supply chains. Investments have been cancelled or postponed, slowing the pace of global economic growth. After a lengthy upswing, hard macroeconomic data and leading indicators have started to fall. Earnings of listed companies have started to be revised downward, contributing to increased volatility.

**Downward earnings revisions will level off**

Earnings forecasts for 2019 have already been adjusted downward to an unusually large degree over a short period. When the revision trend reverses, it usually lasts for a while.

Since our main scenario is somewhat slower economic expansion but no recession, corporate earnings growth should be 2-6 per cent this year. We expect the downward revision trend to level off by the end of June, provided that our positive macro scenario proves correct.

When stock markets have lost their footing, the macro picture is unclear and the earnings trend is uncertain, valuations become an interesting gauge for assessing whether it is worth buying shares or not. Based on forward-looking price-earnings or P/E ratios (share price/earnings per share), which is 13.8 for the MSCI world index, share prices have not been cheaper during the past five years. An average P/E ratio of 15.5 during the same period indicates that normal share valuations are about 12 per cent higher than today. However, we expect further downward earnings revisions of a few percentage points, ending up with moderate potential share price gains of about 6-8 per cent. The low interest rate environment is helping support valuations and boost capital flows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower valuations in the global stock market</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="chart1.png" alt="Chart showing lower valuations" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 12-month forward price-earnings or P/E ratio (share price/earnings per share) for the world index is now the lowest in five years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simultaneous downward revisions in major stock markets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="chart2.png" alt="Chart showing simultaneous revisions" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valuations in the world’s stock markets have been revised downward significantly over a short period, in Europe and the US as well as on emerging market (EM) exchanges.
into stock markets, since the risk-free interest rate in large parts of the world is not generating any return at all. Studying other valuation metrics such as dividend yield or the price-to-book (P/B) ratio, we draw the same conclusion.

Large inflows to emerging market equities

In the last issue of Investment Outlook, we noted that emerging market (EM) share valuations had fallen to historical lows compared to those in advanced economies. The valuation spread at that time was 35 per cent based on the P/B ratio, the metric that we used since return on equity across these regions was similar. The spread has now narrowed to 31 per cent, after investors took note of the valuation gap and bought EM equities at the expense of equities in mature economies during the final quarter of 2018. For institutional investors, EM countries are now the most popular to overweight.

The EM earnings trend is discouraging in the near term, especially in the IT sector. During the autumn, semiconductor makers and other subcontractors in the IT sector saw sharp downward earnings revisions. January profit warnings from Samsung and Apple resulted in another wave of downward revisions. Lower earnings are expected in the IT sector, whereas other sectors will provide positive earnings growth. Negative earnings growth is expected in tech-heavy South Korea and in Russia, where the oil sector is a major contributor to the economy, while Taiwan’s earnings will grow only marginally. These three countries together account for 35 per cent of the EM earnings base and will bring down the overall figure. At the other end of the scale are Brazil and India, where double-digit earnings growth is expected in 2019. The most important EM stock market, China, is also expected to show earnings growth despite a decelerating economy.

In order for the EM sphere to achieve positive capital inflows, we would have to see a weaker US dollar combined with global economic growth that does not decelerate any further. Results from China’s stimulus measures would also be encouraging. EM equities still have low valuations but historically they are a riskier investment, so one should be selective when investing during this phase of the economic cycle.

Europe is unpopular

Europe has declined in popularity among institutional investment managers. The last time the region was this unpopular was in 2012, when the Greek crisis was the biggest source of concern. Now it is Italy and the United Kingdom. Unpopular usually also means cheap. The P/E ratio has fallen from 16 to 12.5 in one year, which can be considered relatively low despite the risk of downward revisions. However, we need to see declining political risk and a better general economic trend for investors to overweight Europe again.

Large valuation spreads for FAANG companies

The US also fell in popularity among investment managers last autumn. This is attributable to some of the big high-growth companies that have led the long stock market upturn. High valuations, increased regulatory risks and in some cases exceptionally high profitability have scared off investors. Although the FAANG companies (see chart above right) are described as one group, their operations vary significantly, and so has their share price performance over the past year. Netflix has climbed 33 per cent, in local currency, whereas Facebook has fallen 9 per cent in one year. Company valuations also vary significantly. With net cash holdings equal to 20 per cent of its market capitalisation (USD 130 billion), Apple has a P/E ratio of 14, while Netflix is valued at a P/E ratio of 74 based on its 2019 projected earnings.

Largest downward revisions in cyclical sectors

For some time, we have been saying that we are in a late phase of the economic cycle. As a rule, that means lower demand, troublesome cost pressures and shrinking margins. Investors are looking for companies with pricing power, which means that customers are less sensitive to the price of their goods. In other words, there is scope for such companies to raise prices without losing significant sales volume. These companies are found in virtually every industry and region, except perhaps the commodities sector, where added value is low. Companies with strong market positions, sound finances and unique products that are less cyclical are favoured whereas cyclical companies are at a disadvantage. The most negative downward revision trend is seen in cyclical sectors – a trend that will probably persist for a few more quarters. This is partly reflected in valuations, which have priced in a gloomy future. Valuation spreads between sectors, regions and companies will continue to be volatile. The wrong investments will be severely punished, which will increase disparities between different fund managers.

Conclusions

As we wait for the economic picture to become clearer and for volatility to decline, it is a good idea to own companies with stable operations that show some growth and offer high-quality earnings. Despite uncertainty, we believe the stock market will generate normal returns this year: about 6-8 per cent in local currencies. This is based on low valuations and our outlook of a mild economic slowdown, but no recession.
Corporate earnings forecasts for 2019 have softened for most regions and countries, gradually being adjusted downward as macroeconomic data have brought downside surprises. The consensus forecast is now 7 per cent global earnings growth. Due to slowing global economic growth, we expect another quarter or two of lowered earnings forecasts. We do not expect a sustained steep fall, but rather a decline to low single-digit growth. This need not be negative for stock markets, since lower share prices mean that a lower earnings scenario has already been discounted.

The US corporate earnings trend in 2018 stands out, due to the country’s strong economy combined with substantial corporate tax cuts. We estimate around 22 per cent earnings growth for the broad S&P 500 index of US listed companies, although the corporate report season for the final quarter has just begun. Since the tax cuts are a nonrecurring effect, we foresee earnings growth falling to a more normal 8 per cent in 2019. In Europe, earnings growth of just over 6 per cent is expected in 2019, down from nearly 10 per cent in 2018.

In Asia excluding Japan, growth prospects are subdued as China’s economy is slowing down, while growth in the IT sector, represented by large semiconductor companies, peaked in 2018. During the first half of 2019, earnings growth is even expected to be negative. After this, we believe earnings growth will recover as China’s stimulus measures take hold and IT sector earnings stop falling. In Japan, earnings growth is expected to be in the low single digits. However, falling global GDP growth combined with a stronger yen point to even lower earnings forecasts for Japanese companies.

Stock markets in Europe and Japan have similarities in their sectoral structure, with manufacturing and cyclical sectors accounting for a large share of earnings. There is a strong correlation between earnings and the economic cycle, so it is more likely that earnings will be revised further downward in these regions than, for instance, in the US. In one extreme case — the financial crisis that took place a decade ago — Japanese companies posted aggregate losses. In Europe earnings fell 85 per cent and in the US earnings were halved. That gives an indication of how sensitive earnings are to weaker economic growth. Our macroeconomic scenario is a certain slowdown in economic activity, but not a steep fall.

### Decelerating earnings growth

The bar chart illustrates earnings growth in the US, the EU and Asia excluding Japan. US and European earnings will fall this year compared to 2018, while earnings in Asia excluding Japan are expected to increase somewhat, though from a low level.

### Downward earnings revisions in Europe

Downward revisions of 2019 European earnings forecasts began in late autumn.
Downward earnings revisions in the US

Downward revisions of 2019 US earnings began in late autumn.
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For several months, Europe has also seen downward revisions. The biggest downgrades are in cyclical sectors such as industrials, chemicals and the passenger car industry. Naturally, oil company earnings have been affected by falling oil prices.

An unusually large number of companies with an international presence that depend on the general economic trend have issued profit warnings, confirming the weaker macro picture. Analysts have started to revise their earnings forecasts downward. US fourth quarter forecasts have been lowered by five per cent in recent months. The biggest downward revisions are for the oil and technology sectors.

The chart above right shows the S&P 500 companies that publish their own earnings forecasts. It is common for companies to start low so they can announce upside surprises as the report date approaches. A worrisome trend began as early as Q4 2018, when more companies than usual lowered their earnings guidance. It was clear in the final quarter that more companies than usual were forced to decrease their earnings expectations. This sample of companies is probably representative of what the report period will look like.

For several months, Europe has also seen downward revisions. The biggest downgrades are in cyclical sectors such as industrials, chemicals and the passenger car industry. Naturally, oil company earnings have been affected by falling oil prices.

There are also examples of companies that are comfortable with the general economic situation. The US bank Citigroup, with tentacles across the globe, was explicitly upbeat about the corporate climate in its report and foresaw continued high demand for corporate borrowing. Credit losses were being kept in check, and household finances were considered solid. Bank of America confirms this picture of strong US consumption. One surprising example of an upside profit warning this late in the economic cycle came from General Motors. The passenger car industry has experienced several difficult years, with emissions scandals and capital-intensive product development of electric cars. Growth has also stagnated, and in China, auto production has fallen for the first time. GM’s situation should therefore be considered unique. Continued weak earnings momentum is expected in the vehicle sector.

With the financial crisis still fresh in people’s minds, corporate executives in traditional industries have been relatively restrained about making investments, thereby reducing the risk of excessively high negative operating leverage in an economic downturn. However, downward earnings revisions cannot be avoided. Banks today are relatively sound, so no major downside surprises are expected from that sector, but an especially vigilant eye is being kept on credit loss levels. The biggest downside surprises have come from semiconductor and hardware producers in the IT sector. We can expect a continued weak earnings trend here. Falling oil prices have lowered oil producers’ earnings and their willingness to invest. Subcontractors, including a large number of industrial companies, are indirectly affected.

Conclusions

In general, there are few reasons for corporate executives to be overly optimistic about the upcoming flow of reports. There is still great uncertainty. However, downward earnings revisions should not be equated with falling stock markets. Last year was the best earnings year ever, yet the world index ended more than 9 per cent lower in local currencies. In other words, large downward earnings revisions have been priced into the market. If we avoid an actual recession – which we believe will be the case – then there is real potential for a good stock market year, despite sluggish earnings growth.
During the past six months, the stock market has reassessed future economic prospects. Having previously foreseen a continuation of the upturn to even higher heights, it is now pricing in global factors much more rationally. A lengthy economic cycle is slowing. The stock market has sobered up, realising that growth will not continue forever and that uncertainty factors may have an impact on the real economy. Our strategy going forward remains cautious, but we are somewhat more hopeful in the short term and prefer to invest in structural growth, including digitisation and sustainability.

**Economic slowdown a reality**

In recent issues of *Investment Outlook*, we have pointed out that the global economic cycle is in a clearly mature phase and that investors should be aware of the risks involved, although our stock market outlook has been optimistic. A decade-long economic upturn phase is unusual; economic cycles usually reverse when both employment and corporate earnings growth are at today’s levels, which historically are very favourable. **Investors would still be wise to position themselves with at least some element of caution.** Central banks are expected to reduce the scale of their monetary policy programmes going forward, while earnings performance will assume a larger role in the pricing of shares. The stock market has had an abrupt wake-up call for this very reason during the past six months. No expansion lasts forever; at some point the real economy loses momentum and self-confidence. Businesses usually face a turning point around such levels. Consumer spending plans also change as people tighten their purse strings. By all indications, we are in a late phase of the economic cycle, when growth is not as high as before.

**Profit warnings came thick and fast in January**

At the start of 2019, profit warnings came thick and fast from international companies, with clear signals of weakening. In the vehicle sector, both Jaguar Land Rover and Ford Motor introduced cost-cutting measures in response to warning signals in the general economy and weaker demand for diesel-powered vehicles. American Airlines, Norwegian Air Shuttle and EasyJet also cited tougher times for aviation. US-based retailers Macy’s and Kohl’s announced that the Christmas holiday season was not the success they had hoped for, while jewellery-maker Tiffany & Co. stated that it had seen weaker earnings from mainland Chinese tourists in the US and Hong Kong. Apple issued a profit warning due to weaker sales in China, and in the financial sector BlackRock will reduce its global workforce by 3 per cent. When unemployment is at historically low levels and corporate earnings and credits are at high levels, the economy tends to slow down, and we are now getting more signals of this.

**More rational pricing**

The chart below shows the index level (excluding dividends) for the 30 most heavily traded shares on the Stockholm exchange (OMXS30) since the early 1990s. It clearly shows how stock market pricing becomes either too greedy or too pessimistic when the economy reaches an extreme, that is, during an upturn or downturn. The average share price (P/E ratio) is important, of course, but the general economic trend is even more important. The chart is adjusted for the extreme euphoria at the turn of the millennium, when promises were priced as if they were gold ingots. The chart is an attempt to single out periods when the stock market was irrational, no matter whether it was at a peak or a trough. Interestingly, each peak has been higher than previous peaks, and each trough higher than previous troughs. After the stock market had priced equities and the economy at relatively high levels, a more rational level has been reached.

**More rational pricing on the Stockholm exchange**

The chart shows the Stockholm exchange’s OMXS30 index since the early 1990s, adjusted for extremely irrational pricing at the turn of the millennium. Pricing in the Stockholm stock market (based on history) has now gone from an irrational to a rational level. The general economic trend determines the future – that is, corporate earnings growth.
What are prospects like at the sectoral level?

Potential based on SEB’s benchmark prices and future earnings growth for different sectors shows that prospects are clearly mixed. We believe investments that include a large element of structural growth are particularly attractive. **Digitisation** and **sustainability** are examples of segments that show major structural growth.

In the **digital sector**, with its structural growth, potential is very strong and highly competitive against other sectors. Earnings growth forecasts from last year through 2020 (two years forward from the base year 2018) also stand out sharply at 40 per cent. When we look around us – at home, at the office, in the car, at the factory or outdoors – it is easy to see the revolutionary digitisation that is under way in every aspect of society. The digital economy still offers excellent growth potential, regardless of the state of the overall economy. Product segments such as e-commerce, automation, smart business systems and digital entertainment games are experiencing structural growth.

For **banking** and **social/educational/health services**, the average upside against the underlying benchmark prices is around 20 per cent for the year ahead. Compared to other sectors, these have far more stable demand. SEB analyses 17 Nordic banks; the smallest of these offer the greatest potential based on our benchmark prices. But large banks have good chances of maintaining their efficiency improvement trajectory, with relatively high dividend yields in the next few years.

We have long considered **social/educational/health service companies** to be attractive, given their non-cyclical demand and a valuation picture that we believe prices in a political future that includes too many risks. Profit caps do not have political backing at present, and it is difficult to see how this can change over the next few years, given today’s broad consensus at the government level.

**Gaming** (casinos and betting) also stands out in terms of upside compared to benchmark prices. The gaming sector continues its digitisation, but there are risks, with betting limits in the United Kingdom being one onerous factor. Swedish regulations, with their consumer protections, are a welcome development, but the sector may be volatile.

For sectors such as **network equipment**, **services**, **real estate** and **insurance**, analysts’ benchmark prices are the lowest among the sectors. Meanwhile, risk levels for these sectors are generally lower compared, for instance, to commodities, where the average upside against the benchmark price for 15 commodity companies is around 40 per cent. Our earnings forecast for the commodities sector was recently revised sharply downward for a number of companies.

**Real estate** and **insurance** have been among the best sectors to be invested in over the past few months. This is because they are considered “safe havens” when the stock market is turbulent. However, their potential going forward is significantly lower, although we should not rule out continued outperformance if the economy slows more than expected.

Two other sectors with strong share price performance are **telecom operators** and **salmon farming**. We have argued in favour of owning telecoms, while salmon farming has performed far better than our recommendations. Salmon farming has remained a surprisingly strong sector in recent years, given the structural growth potential in these Norwegian companies. Telecom operators are showing stable growth, with a far lower degree of competition than internationally influenced sectors (that is, most others). Structural changes and high dividend yields have also helped telecom operators achieve strong performance. But our optimism has waned, since valuations have risen to what we view as more reasonable levels. There are other sectors with brighter prospects.

The **construction** sector is interesting, where the upside for equities stands out as neither positive nor negative, while earnings growth is expected to be among the weakest over the next two years. However, the twelve companies that SEB analyses in the construction sector face very different conditions, since their underlying exposures vary. The differences are primarily geographic, with some only operating in Sweden or Finland, while others are more international with a broader exposure. A few years ago, the Swedish construction sector was extremely hot – far too hot – but today it is ice-cold.
Nordic stock market returns are higher at present than the economic cycle in 2000 and in 2006-2007. It is clear that above trend, with two major deviations: at the peak of the economic trend and during the last 3-4 years, as digital platform companies have intensified the competition in fashion retailing.

During the 25 years shown, corporate earnings have been affected by sharp swings in competitiveness over the past 20 years. These sectors have been affected by extreme changes in a few sectors since the early 1990s. A steady upturn in recent years indicates a relatively mature economic cycle. After the US presidential election, investments in infrastructure were among the hottest in the stock market. That theme is now totally lifeless but may be revived when US political parties launch their election campaigns over the next year. For example, Skanska has a big position in the US, but SSAB (steel) and Hexagon (measurement technology) could also be affected if this theme heats up again.

Health care is another sector where the current US administration would very much like to fulfill its 2016 campaign promises. American drug prices have been escalating for a long time. Compared to Europe, price differences are often very wide. The trend for pharmaceuticals is of course company-specific. At the same time, a discussion about excessively high drug prices may affect attitudes towards such companies as well as their earnings. Novo Nordic and AstraZeneca are two examples of companies where the risk of increased, government-driven price pressure should not be ignored. Generic versions of products with expired patents may be a headache for some pharmaceutical companies, but they also have impressive potential to find new areas to grow in.

**Stock market returns well above historical trend**

In the chart above right, we illustrate Nordic corporate earnings since the mid-1990s, adjusted for extreme changes in a few sectors where the underlying influence from the general economic trend was very difficult to read. We have excluded network equipment, pharmaceuticals, fossil energy, shipping and clothing consumption. These sectors have been affected by sharp swings in competitiveness over the past 20 years. For instance, the collapse of Nokia’s telephone handset production had nothing to do with the general economic trend. Nor does Hennes & Mauritz’s 40 per cent plunge in profits over the past 3-4 years, as digital platform companies have intensified the competition in fashion retailing.

During the 25 years shown, corporate earnings have been above trend, with two major deviations: at the peak of the economic cycle in 2000 and in 2006-2007. It is clear that Nordic stock market returns are higher at present than the historical trend. Do SEB’s analysts anticipate a slowdown in returns soon? No, they expect a 13 per cent increase both this year and next. Meanwhile, it is important not to ignore the permanent over-optimism that has virtually always characterised forecasters. Our earnings forecasts for 2019 have been revised downward by about 10 per cent during the past year. Historically, earnings forecasts have been revised downward by an average of 15 per cent from the initial annual forecast to the actual final outcome. We also expect downward revisions for 2019.

**Earnings-neutral price-to-book ratio for Nordic equities**

During 2018, our line of reasoning was closely linked to our view that earnings growth, not valuations, would be the critical factor for future returns. Earnings growth has driven equities for the past 2-3 years, and will probably do so going forward. The price-to-book or P/B ratio (share price/book value per share) is currently about 2.1, a level that indicates neither particular optimism nor fear. It is a neutral level from a future returns perspective. Some companies may be priced as if a recession were imminent, but it is hard to maintain that the stock market has done this at an aggregate level. Historically, the valuation multiple has been much lower in tough times. If we are at the end of an economic cycle, as various leading indicators and employment figures suggest, average share prices are at levels that reflect both the risks and opportunities of such a trend. We believe investments that include a large element of structural growth are particularly attractive. Digitisation and sustainability are two shining examples of segments with major structural growth.

**Summary**

- Weaker leading indicators are a reality; so is decelerating economic growth.
- Forecast revisions have been substantial and broad over the past six months.
- Profit warnings came thick and fast early in 2019.
- There will be continued large earnings shifts between sectors.
- We have a clear focus on structural growth.
Fixed income investments

Central banks slow their pace of normalisation

A more uncertain economic outlook presents central banks with new challenges. Low inflation pressure is enabling them to slow their pace of normalisation, which also reduces upward pressure on long-term yields. We are choosing to maintain a limited interest rate risk. In the credit market we see potential in the high yield segment, after yield spreads between government and corporate bonds widened during the autumn. Emerging markets are showing signs of recovery and in some cases may be bolstered by weakened currencies.

**Government bonds (excl emerging markets)**

The United States **Federal Reserve** (Fed) raised its key interest rate to 2.25–2.5 per cent in December, but at the same time it signalled a slower pace of rate hikes going forward. Early in 2019, the Fed repeated its signals about a pause in rate hikes. In our view, the Fed will only raise its key rate once this year, at its June policy meeting. As a result, the rate hikes will stop at 2.75 per cent, roughly in line with the Fed’s new view of what a neutral rate is. However, the Fed’s balance sheet will continue to shrink as planned, although Fed Chairman Jerome Powell is now open to a more flexible approach to this component of monetary policy as well (read more on page 8).

The **European Central Bank** (ECB) has ended its bond-buying programme (coupons and maturities are being reinvested), but due to falling inflation there is a greater likelihood that its first interest rate hike will be further postponed. We believe the ECB will carry out its first rate hike in December this year and then be satisfied with raising its deposit rate (for banks) to -0.25 per cent. Not until late 2020 will that rate be raised to 0 and the ECB’s refi rate to 0.25 per cent.

Sweden’s **Riksbank** hiked its repo rate in December to -0.25 per cent, but at the same time it reduced the number of planned rate hikes going forward. We believe low inflation will be among the reasons why the Riksbank will slow its pace of rate hikes somewhat compared to its December rate path. Our forecast is that the next hike will occur in October 2019, with the Swedish central bank then being satisfied with a single hike in 2020 to 0.25 per cent. We thus expect a repo rate of 0.25 per cent at the end of 2020.

Fewer rate hikes also have consequences for our forecasts about 10-year government bond yields. We do not believe we will return to the levels seen last autumn; instead, US long-term Treasury yields have peaked. In light of our forecast of cautious ECB rate hikes towards the end of 2019, we believe German government bond yields will rise gradually, but less than Swedish long-term yields, since we believe the Riksbank will manage one more rate hike before the ECB makes a move.

**We prefer bonds with low interest rate risk**

Government bonds today generate low returns, while upturns in government bond yields may cause returns to deteriorate further. Owning government bonds works well when interest rates are falling, but when government bond yields are moving upward, it means worse conditions for fixed income investments with longer maturities. This is why we prefer holdings with short durations, since an upturn in long-term yields will not have as large a negative impact on bond prices.

**Forecasts for 10-year government bond yields**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Feb 2019</th>
<th>Jun 2019</th>
<th>Dec 2019</th>
<th>Dec 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SEB, market data for February 4.
Corporate bonds – investment grade (IG) and high yield (HY)

Due to uncertainty about global growth and political risks, yield spreads between corporate bonds (both HY and IG) and government securities widened at the end of 2018. After this sharp movement, credit spreads are now at an average level, seen in a 15-year perspective.

US corporate bonds in the high yield segment had an especially difficult December. Continued stable conditions for companies were no help as recession worries spread. Falling oil prices also contributed, since this created concerns about the future earnings of energy companies.

There is also a strong correlation between economic growth and the risk seen in corporate bonds via credit spreads. Weaker economic prospects, indicated mainly by purchasing manager sentiment about future growth, were thus another reason for the turbulence in December. As a result, corporate bonds will be very sensitive to recession signals going forward as well. But if our forecast is correct and we avoid a recession during 2019-2020, then we foresee enough scope for credit spreads to narrow again; they have already begun to do so in recent weeks.

We expect high yield and investment grade bonds to generate better returns than government bonds. The largest potential is probably in the high yield segment, assuming stable growth prospects and the relatively limited sensitivity of these bonds to rising interest rates and yields. However, because of their higher credit risk, high yield bond prices will be hit harder in times of recession worries.

Central banks’ wait-and-see approach to interest rate hikes also supports this outlook for corporate credits. Their more dovish attitude has the potential to boost risk appetite to some extent. The bond supply was very low last autumn but is expected to recover as risk appetite picks up in the market.

Emerging market debt (EMD)

After a trendless summer for emerging market (EM) fixed income investments, prices began to move slowly upward in late autumn, driven by relatively high interest rates and yields as well as a stabilisation of currencies. The situation remained favourable in early 2019, with rising prices. Economic growth in many emerging markets is still at a good level. Recent signals from trade talks between the US and China indicate greater willingness to reach an agreement, which would not only be favourable for large parts of Asia but should also have a positive effect on risk appetite among global investors, thus benefiting all emerging markets. Many EM countries are also showing sound finances, enabling them to enact stimulus measures if necessary and provide support for their respective currencies. A number of countries still have weak finances, which may cause turmoil in this asset class, as it did in 2018; they include Turkey, Argentina, Malaysia and South Africa. Despite the recent positive trend, valuations remain attractive for parts of this segment, which is reflected in some currencies.

Higher EM interest rates and yields compared to advanced economies, combined with the Federal Reserve’s wait-and-see approach and a weaker dollar further ahead, should provide potential for continued positive performance. Renewed financial worries and/or a stronger dollar would pose major risks.
Theme: Improving energy efficiency

A promising investment

More efficient energy use can have positive effects on the economy, the environment and people’s health. The technological potential to halve the energy intensity of the global economy already exists, and the financial return on many of these investments is good. Nonetheless, global investments aimed at improving energy efficiency are far below what is required to achieve this potential, which the International Energy Agency (IEA) believes is desirable and ought to be achieved by 2040. Regulatory changes, more extensive incentive schemes and better access to funding are needed in order to stimulate these investments going forward. There are already regulations and stimulus measures to promote more energy-efficient vehicles, electrical devices, industrial products, building materials and construction solutions in most countries, and we see good reasons for them to increase and become more widespread going forward. Such developments will create both opportunities and risks for equity investors. Many companies in the Nordic stock market sell products or solutions that have energy savings as an important sales argument. We think a number of them have improving energy efficiency as their *raison d’être*.

**Economical and healthy**

In virtually every important product area that consumes energy, more energy-efficient alternatives to the cheapest or most commonly used ones are already available. The cost over a product’s life cycle may often be lower for energy-efficient alternatives, but the purchase price is sometimes substantially higher. From a socioeconomic perspective, this may lead to significant sub-optimisation, since consumers do not pay the indirect costs that the use of more inefficient alternatives entails – such as greater investment needs for energy production capacity and the costs generated by unnecessary emissions. More than four million people die prematurely each year from breathing polluted outdoor air, mainly in Asia (according to the report State of Global Air 2018). It is clear that polluted air is one factor behind gigantic health-related costs, both direct and indirect, but it is usually not rational for an individual consumer or manufacturer to take this into consideration in their purchasing decision if the right set of incentives is not in place. Emissions from fossil fuel combustion account for a very large proportion of air quality problems and could be reduced by improving energy efficiency, especially when combined with better energy sources.

**The technology already exists**

If the whole world switched to the most energy-efficient alternatives that are already available in the important energy-consuming transport, construction and manufacturing sectors, energy intensity could be nearly halved by 2040. It would take time to replace the existing stock of vehicles, electronics, buildings, machinery and so on, even if all new cars or newly constructed homes, for example, were sold with the most efficient solutions that are now available. Yet the efficiency improvement potential around the world over the next few decades is mind-boggling, once a large proportion of capital stock has been replaced.

**Larger investments needed**

However, in order to benefit from the savings that nearly halving energy use would bring, global energy efficiency investments – estimated at more than 236 billion dollars in 2017 – would have to double over the next six years and then double again during 2025-2040. This scenario assumes that the investments with the fastest payback period would be made first, with more demanding investments coming
after 2025. Aggregate investments could be expected to be repaid three times over during their lifetime, in the form of energy cost savings alone. Meanwhile enormous investments in new energy sources and power generating capacity would be unnecessary and could be eliminated. Investments in new power generating capacity equivalent to today’s total in China and India could be eliminated by 2040. In this scenario, the global economy would double in size while energy consumption would remain almost unchanged and related emissions would be reduced, assuming that energy efficiency is maximised at the same time. Aside from a dramatic decrease in energy costs for the owners of homes, vehicles and factories, less air pollution would mean better quality of life and lower health care costs for society as a whole. In countries with high costs for imported energy – such as oil, gas and coal – it is also worth thinking about the potential reduction in their costs and dependence on politically troubled countries in the Middle East that oil imports represent. This applies especially to developing countries like India, which is burdened by constant oil-related trade deficits and is frequently subject to instability caused by inflation pressure from rising oil prices.

**Investments lead to lower costs**

It is worth noting that households in countries that have been at the forefront of improving energy efficiency in recent decades, such as Japan and much of the EU, are now realising sizeable savings on their energy expenditures for transport, heat and cooling. In 2017, total energy costs for Japanese households were more than 25 per cent lower than they would have been if energy efficiency measures introduced in 2000-2017 had not been implemented. In France, Germany and the United Kingdom, energy costs were roughly 20 per cent lower whereas in the US they were only about 10 per cent lower.

Although large total investments would be needed to realise the IEA’s efficient world scenario, they appear to be far from unattainable compared to the investments made in the energy sector. In 2017, investments in electrical power totalled 750 billion dollars, while investments in oil and gas production totalled 71.6 billion dollars.

**Improved efficiency critical to meet Paris Agreement’s climate goal**

One good way to reduce the environmental impact of the world’s large and growing energy needs is through more efficient use of the energy that is already being produced. The IEA forecasts a continued increase in global carbon dioxide emissions until 2040, assuming that the current policy framework remains in place. In order to meet the Paris Agreement’s climate goal – keeping the increase in global average temperature to less than 2°C above pre-industrial levels – a sharp reduction in emissions is needed. The IEA has outlined a scenario for such a trend that is feasible in technical, economic and practical terms in its Sustainable Development Scenario.

In fact, the biggest emissions difference between the IEA’s main scenario and this more desirable scenario comes from the more efficient use of the energy that is produced. Efficiency improvements are not adequate for achieving this climate goal without other measures, but such improvements are as important as investments in renewable energy sources and far more important than fuel substitution, nuclear power or other measures such as an increased proportion of renewable energy production, expanded nuclear power use and a change in fuel consumption patterns.

---

**More efficient energy use can potentially contribute largest emissions reduction by 2040**

The International Energy Agency (IEA) predicts a continued rise in global carbon dioxide emissions until 2040, assuming that the current policy framework remains in place. In order to achieve the climate goal set in the Paris Agreement, a sharp reduction in emissions is instead needed. In its Sustainable Development Scenario, the IEA has outlined a scenario for such a trend that is technologically, economically and practically feasible. The above chart shows the emissions reduction in this scenario from different kinds of measures. Increased energy efficiency is the single most important element but is far from sufficient without other parallel measures such as an increased proportion of renewable energy production, expanded nuclear power use and a change in fuel consumption patterns.

**Potential for better energy use**

The chart shows the energy intensity improvement potential in a number of selected areas of use. The unit of measurement varies among the different activities. Energy consumption for passenger car transport is measured in terms of energy used per vehicle-kilometre and corresponds to the effect if an average car in 2040 is as energy-efficient as today’s most energy-efficient hybrids plus an increase in the proportion of electric cars to 40 per cent. Energy consumption for industrial production is measured in terms of value produced. Home energy consumption is measured per square metre for total housing stock and includes the effects of newly built homes, which will use 84 per cent less energy for heating in 2040.
carbon capture and storage (CCS), which are also expected to help achieve the Paris goal. Since energy demand is projected to increase only marginally, assuming this kind of social development, investments in new power generation can be used to replace existing emissions-producing power with renewable sources, instead of the massive capacity expansion that will be needed if the current trend persists. According to the IEA, existing energy efficiency technology can cut emissions by about 40 per cent of the amount needed to reach the United Nations climate goal by 2040.

If this sustainable development scenario is to become a reality, however, the world will need to embrace policies that provide households and companies with the right incentive structures.

Opportunities and risks for investors

Buildings, manufacturers and the transport sector can improve energy efficiency dramatically in the decades ahead. This is true of the entire world to varying degrees, but the greatest energy efficiency opportunities are in emerging market economies.

Changes in regulations and incentive structures represent both risks and opportunities for companies and investors. Many companies may be forced to invest in energy-saving measures while others will see their competitiveness deteriorate or improve as a result of regulatory changes by authorities. If households are compelled to invest more in energy-saving solutions, this may also lead to other consumption being pushed aside, especially in a more short-term perspective before savings measures yield results.

Some of the key products and solutions highlighted by the IEA in its efficient world scenario for buildings are heat pumps, LED bulbs, energy-efficient windows and insulation. For manufacturers, the focus instead is on efficient and functionally adapted electric motors of various kinds as well as heat pumps for more efficient heating. Increased metal recycling is also important, since recycled metal requires far less production energy than virgin metal extracted from ore. For the transport sector, electric and hybrid cars are recommended instead of combustion engine cars, high-speed rail instead of air transport, and continued efficiency improvements in conventional technology for air and sea cargo as well as heavy road haulage.

Electric cars a challenge and an opportunity

One industry where many investors today seem to fear the effects of imminent changes is passenger cars. Share valuations for conventional automakers are being pushed down to remarkably low levels, due to concerns about the potential consequences of phasing out traditional technology in favour of electrification. At the same time, there is apparently extreme optimism when it comes to automakers focused on electric vehicles (see chart).

Heat pumps

Many companies listed in the Nordic stock markets sell products that contribute to energy efficiency. With supportive international political decisions, these companies may have even more important competitive advantages and sales arguments in the future. The Nordic countries have been described as role models, for instance, when it comes to efficient heating of buildings and water, thanks to the widespread use of heat pumps (see chart). Nordic companies may have a valuable edge if there is a more extensive international roll-out of heat pumps for heating buildings and water. One market that is currently smaller but is experiencing much faster growth is the cooling of buildings.

Wide valuation gap between automakers

The chart shows the price-earnings (P/E) ratio and valuation per krona of sales (enterprise value/sales = EV/S) in the consensus forecast for Tesla and BYD Auto (which both focus on electric cars) compared to GM, Ford, FiatChrysler, BMW and Great Wall as well as Geely (automakers that mostly produce conventional cars so far).

Estimated heat pump penetration for heating of homes

The chart shows the estimated penetration of heat pumps for home heating in selected geographic areas.
Electric motors
One of the products that may be critical to more efficient energy use in manufacturing is new, highly efficient electric motors – a key product for the Swiss-based engineering multinational ABB, whose shares are among the most heavily traded on the Stockholm exchange. More efficient electric motors are one of the areas with the best projected investment returns on average. It is noteworthy that the biggest energy savings potential in manufacturing is now in less energy-intensive “light” industries such as textiles and assembly operations (including auto manufacturing, considered light industry in this context). Obviously, there are major energy savings opportunities in energy-intensive industries such as steel, but in industries where energy costs are a significant percentage of total cost, there has already been a big focus on this issue for a relatively long time. The total value of potential efficiency improvements is thus greatest in industries where energy costs have not been as important. A related example is the Nordic paper industry, in which many companies have carried out enormous investments to reduce energy costs over the past 10-12 years, after these costs exploded in the first decade of the millennium.

Green finance
Energy efficiency is a business opportunity, and not only for industrial companies. One of the challenges in getting large enough investments in energy efficiency is inadequate access to finance. Both households and companies today mainly invest their own funds in energy efficiency solutions, although “green bonds”, for instance, have become far more important in recent years. Corporate investments in energy efficiency compete with all the other available investment opportunities, which often leads to high return requirements and a higher risk premium on these investments than would be desirable from a socioeconomic perspective. At the same time, household investment and consumption decisions are often based on very short-term planning. In order for the improved efficiency scenario outlined above to become a reality, more extensive funding mechanisms are required for energy efficiency improvements. To effectively manage the risks that this kind of funding entails, financial innovations may be needed. One innovation that has been successful so far in China and the US is energy service companies (ESCOs).

Summary
We believe there are good reasons for investors to anticipate and position themselves for a future in which more efficient energy use will be even more necessary. There are socioeconomic, humanitarian and environmental reasons to expect further regulatory changes going forward, which should drive this trend – creating both opportunities and risks for investors. Given such a trend, we see a number of potential winners among Nordic listed companies.
This report was published on February 5, 2019. Its contents are based on information and analysis available until February 1, 2019.
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